

Crisis Policy Briefing

Housing Benefit cuts

December 2011

Overview

Housing Benefit is vital in supporting people with their housing costs and in ensuring people's housing stability. Crisis has long called for reform of housing benefit to better support housing stability and to remove barriers to work but we strongly believe that the proposed cuts will not achieve these ends, will adversely affect some of the most disadvantaged in society and are likely to lead to an increase in homelessness.

There are currently 4.91 million people receiving Housing Benefit (HB) in Britain.¹ Of these:

- 3.63 million, almost three quarters, are of working age
- 3.24 million receive a passported benefit
- 68% are social tenants and 32% rent from private landlords

The cost of HB is expected to rise to £22 billion this year² with Local Housing Allowance (LHA), a form of HB in the Private Rented Sector (PRS), costing £2.6 billion in 2009-10³. This is due to there being a significant increase in the number of claimants, along with steep increases in private sector rents which have been driven by economic factors and the severe shortage of housing. Between 1997/98 and

2009/10 the average private sector rent rose by 94% from £79/week⁴ to £153/week⁵. For many years now, Housing Benefit has been taking the strain of rent increases.

However, despite headline-grabbing figures, the average HB award in the private sector is just £110.21 per week and for social tenants is £76.19⁶. Furthermore, according to Government figures, 48% of people on LHA already face shortfalls between their benefit and their rent, with the average shortfall being £23/week⁷.

The Emergency Budget on 22 June 2010 announced £11 billion of cuts from the welfare budget, which was a third of all the cuts announced. Housing Benefit faces £1.8bn of cuts with the most significant impact on tenants in the PRS but tenants in social housing will also be affected.

An additional £7 billion of cuts to the welfare budget were announced in the Comprehensive Spending Review, including further significant cuts to Housing Benefit.

¹ DWP Statistical Summary– Additional tables (Nov 2011) http://research.dwp.gov.uk/asd/asd1/hb_ctb/hbctb_release_nov11.xls

² Wilcox, S. & Pawson, H. (2011) UK Housing Review 2009/10, Table 114 <http://www.york.ac.uk/res/ukhr/ukhr1011/tables&figures/excel/10-114.xls>

³ Hansard (25 March 2009) cc454W

⁴ DCLG (2009) Survey of English Housing Preliminary Results 2007/08

⁵ DCLG (2011) English Housing Survey 2009/10 <http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/statistics/pdf/1851086.pdf>

⁶ DWP Statistical Summary– Additional tables (Nov 2011)

⁷ Hansard (05 March 2010) cc1422W-1423W

Changes in the Budget LHA caps

From: April 2011 for new claimants,
January 2012 for existing claimants.
Estimated saving: £65 million
Secondary legislation laid in Parliament in
November 2010

LHA rates will be subject to caps ranging from £250 per week for a 1 bedroom property to £400 per week for a 4 bedroom property. 4 bedroom will now be the maximum entitlement.

The impact of these caps will only be felt across Greater London but will affect the majority of boroughs. There will be some very significant drops in benefit levels. In central London, for example, the 4 bed LHA rate is currently £1000, so some claimants will see a £600 per week fall in their housing benefit when the caps come into force.

We are very concerned about the impact these changes will have on people's ability to meet their rents. It is likely that significant numbers of households will find themselves needing to move homes, with some households left facing homelessness. Not only will this have a real impact on individuals but will also place a strain on London councils who will need to re-house a significant number of households within a short timeframe.

This will also mean that much of London is likely to become a no go area for claimants, particularly larger families, with significant

implications for mixed communities and community cohesion. Only 7% of properties will be affordable in Central London⁸. This is likely to have knock on effects even on those outer London boroughs, not directly affected by the cuts, placing an increased demand not only on the housing market but also on services such as schools and GPs.

LHA to be set at the 30th percentile

From: April 2011 for new claimants,
January 2012 for existing claimants.
Estimated saving: £425 million
Secondary legislation laid in Parliament in
November 2010

LHA rates will be set at the 30th percentile of local rents rather than the median (50th percentile).

This will effect all areas across the country to a lesser or greater degree. LHA reductions for a 1 room property will range from zero to £25.50, and for a 4 bedroom property from £4.37 to more than £50. In some parts of London, the 30th percentile figure will be irrelevant as the caps outlined above will reduce rates still further.

On average this change alone will cause LHA rates to fall by £5.64/week for the 1 room/shared accommodation rate, £7.73 for a 1 bed property, £9.60 for a 2 bed, £13.33 for a 3 bed and £24.26 for a 4 bed.

48% of people on LHA already face shortfalls between their benefit and their

⁸ DWP (July 2010) Impact of changes to Local Housing Allowance from 2011 <http://www.dwp.gov.uk/local-authority-staff/housing-benefit/claims-processing/local-housing-allowance/impact-of-changes.shtml>

rent, with the average shortfall being £23/week⁹. As these changes will come in abruptly rather than being phased in, many tenants will suddenly find that their rent is now unaffordable. Many more people will face shortfalls and/or find it very difficult to find and sustain a tenancy. This has very significant implications for homelessness.

This and other measures will have cost implications for other Government departments, most immediately to CLG and local authorities but also to health, criminal justice and so on. Individuals will also face costs associated with needing to move such as finding a deposit, removal costs and so on, which will be particularly difficult for people on low incomes to meet.

There are also real implications for local authorities' homelessness prevention work which in recent years has made much use of the PRS. In 2010/11, of the 107,100 households helped to find alternative accommodation, 43% were offered PRS accommodation¹⁰. We are concerned that in the future local authorities may struggle to find properties that are affordable to benefit claimants and so may find it harder to prevent or resolve people's homelessness. It is also likely that there will be a rise in the use of temporary accommodation.

Removing the excess payment of LHA

As announced by the previous Government
From: April 2011
Secondary legislation laid in Parliament in November 2010

Excess payments of up to £15 to claimants of Local Housing Allowance (LHA) where contractual rent is lower than the rate of LHA has been scrapped.

For people on very limited incomes, £15 is a significant amount of money. Those currently receiving an excess payment who are likely to already be struggling to make ends meet will experience a sudden drop in income and may struggle even more to keep on top of their finances. Whilst we understand the need to make savings, there needs to be real consideration as to how best to manage this transition.

Non-dependant deductions

From: 2011 – 2014
Estimated saving: £340 million
Increased in Social Security Benefits
Up-rating process

A non dependant is someone who normally lives with the Housing Benefit claimant such as an adult son, daughter, relative or friend. Non dependent deductions (NDDs) are the deductions made to a household's housing benefit when it contains a non dependent. Non-dependants cannot claim Housing Benefit for any payments they make for their keep.

There will be staged increases in NDDs to bring them up to the level they would have been had they been fully uprated since 2001. The first of these staged increases has been announced and in 2011 NDDs will be increased by 27% on 2010 rates.

⁹ Hansard (05 March 2010) cc1422W-1423W

¹⁰ CLG (2011) Homelessness Prevention and Relief: England 2010/11

<http://www.communities.gov.uk/documents/housing/xls/1968238.xls>

NDDs are perceived as unfair as they are imposed at the same rate regardless of the rent due. Non payment by the non dependant is common, meaning that they are a frequent cause of rent arrears as well as family tension and pressure to move out of the family home.

We are very concerned that, particularly at a time of growing youth unemployment, increasing NDDs will force more young people out of the family home. It is perverse to adopt a measure which will punish young, unemployed people and cause family break up and homelessness. What's more, it is doubtful whether the level of savings envisaged will actually be generated and this measure could in fact end up costing more as non-dependents move out of the family home and make HB claims in their own right.

This also poses issues around new household formation and the pressure this will place on an already overstretched housing system.

Index linking of LHA

From: 2013/14

Estimated saving: £390 million

Needs primary legislation –contained in Welfare Reform Bill

Local Housing Allowance rates will be updated on the basis of the Consumer Price Index (CPI), rather than on the basis of local rents.

As rents generally rise faster than CPI, over time LHA rates will be eroded. Additionally, the link between housing benefit and rent will be broken and, as rents rise at different levels in different parts of the country (due to economic factors and the local housing

market), benefit levels will cease to reflect local rent levels.

This will mean that in many areas housing benefit will no longer meet people's housing costs. We are deeply concerned at the impact these changes may have on people's ability to find and sustain accommodation in the PRS in the medium to long term. What's more such a move is likely to be very difficult to reverse as evidenced from the more than 30 years it has been since the link between the state pension and earnings was broken.

Limiting working age HB entitlement to reflect household size in the social rented sector

From: 2013/14

Estimated saving: £490 million

Needs primary legislation –contained in Welfare Reform Bill

Working age people in social housing will no longer be able to claim HB on a property deemed bigger than their needs. This already applies in the PRS.

Given the huge demand for social housing, measures to help address under occupancy are to be welcomed. However, we are concerned that this move will effectively force people out of their home without them being offered a suitable alternative.

We are particularly concerned that this reduction in HB is not dependent on the tenant being offered another suitable property. It is not yet clear whether social landlords will prioritise under occupying tenants in allocations policy and if they do what the impact will be on others in housing need. Moreover, housing pressures mean that there may simply not be alternative accommodation options available for these tenants. There are concerns that this will

effectively end security of tenure by making it impossible for the tenant to sustain their tenancy.

Rent arrears are likely to rise, causing difficulties not only for the household but also the social landlord.

This measure is expected to affect 670,000 social housing tenants with average losses of £670 per year. Two thirds of those affected will be disabled.

Discretionary housing payment (DHP) budget to rise

From 2012

Estimated additional expenditure: £10 million in 2011/12 and £40m in 2012/13

DHP is a payment for people who require further financial assistance with their rent.

Local authorities' DHP pots are likely to face additional demands in light of the cuts. Whilst extra funds are therefore to be welcomed, the increases (£40m/year) are insignificant in comparison to the scale of the cuts (£1.8 billion).

Changes in the Spending Review Raising the age limit for restriction to the Shared Accommodation Rate from 25 to 35 years old

From: January 2012

Estimated saving: £215m

Requires secondary legislation (regulations laid in July 2011)

The Shared Accommodation Rate currently applies to single people aged under 25 living in the private rented sector who receive Local Housing Allowance. These claimants are restricted to the rate for a

single room in a shared house, rather than the rate for a self-contained one bedroom property. The restriction to this lower rate will be extended to claimants under the age of 35.

The SAR currently causes considerable problems for young people, with many unable to secure or sustain affordable accommodation and left facing shortfalls, arrears and homelessness.

In many areas of the country, this type of shared accommodation simply doesn't exist. Even where it does, claimants already struggle to find an affordable property, with DWP figures showing 70% face a shortfall averaging £27 per week¹¹.

For vulnerable people who have been homeless, are leaving supported accommodation, care or prison, even if a property is available and affordable, sharing is often inappropriate and can be particularly detrimental to their well being.

DWP estimated that 62,500 people will be affected by this change¹², with the average loss being £41 per week and some people seeing their benefit entitlement literally halved. They are likely to lose their accommodation and be left facing homelessness which will place further pressure on the limited pool of shared properties available.

25 to 35 year olds are already disproportionately likely to end up sleeping

¹¹ DWP (2008) LHA pathfinder research - report no 15

¹² DWP (2011) Increasing the Shared Accommodation Rate age threshold to 35: equality impact assessment

on the streets, with 27% of rough sleepers in London in this age group¹³ and 36% of Crisis' clients also fall into this age bracket.

harder for larger families to find properties that are affordable to them.

In July 2011 the Government announced two limited exemptions to the SAR extension. Those aged 25-34 who have lived for three months in a homeless hostel and received resettlement support will be entitled to Housing Benefit to cover a self contained flat. This will also be the case for offenders who pose a risk to others and who are aged 25-34. 6,000 people are expected to be covered by these exemptions.¹⁴

Limiting total benefit claim by household

From: 2013

Estimated saving: £270 m

Needs primary legislation – likely to be contained in Welfare Reform Bill

Household welfare payments will be capped at around £500 per week for the vast majority of claimants (all except those receiving Disability Living Allowance, Working Tax Credit or War Widow Pension). This will have a considerable impact on larger families who are entitled to higher levels of support to reflect their additional needs.

It will have the effect of further reducing the Housing Benefit caps and entitlements for larger households. This will make it even

¹³ Broadway (2010) Street to Home Bulletin 2009-10

¹⁴ DWP (2011) Increasing the shared accommodation rate threshold to 35: revised equality impact assessment

What will the cuts mean?

Crisis has long argued that Housing Benefit needs reforming so that work always pays and it better supports housing stability. We recognise that there is also an issue with a small number of very high rents, particularly in parts of London.

However, we are very concerned that these cuts will have serious consequences for some of the most vulnerable people in society who are the least able to afford them. Nationally 936,960 households (100% of LHA claimants)¹⁵ will lose out as a result of the removal of the £15 excess, the caps and the move to 30th percentile alone. The average 1 bedroom claimant will lose £11/week. The average London claimant will lose £22/week.¹⁶

There is a real concern that cutting in this way will store up problems for the future and end up costing more as social problems such as homelessness result. The Government's own advisors have said that the cuts to LHA "are expected to result in financial hardship, household disruption and displacement, and pressures on non-HB budgets. We would therefore question whether they fulfil the Government's own criteria for effective, principled reform of the benefits system."¹⁷

Homelessness damages people's capability through loss of skills, through an inability to think about employment whilst worrying

about housing, and through their health becoming impaired whilst homeless. Homelessness also damages people's resilience, self-esteem and self-confidence. As well as being costly to the individual, it is also costly to the state. We are concerned that these cuts will in fact end up costing more in other areas, such as housing and health.

Crisis urges the Government to think again to ensure that the most vulnerable are protected from the brunt of the cuts and that cuts are not made in such a way that they store up social problems down the line.

¹⁵ DWP (July 2010) Impact of changes to Local Housing Allowance from 2011

¹⁶ *ibid*

¹⁷ SSAC (November 2010) Report on S.I. No 2010/2835 and S.I. No. 2010/2836



Company Number: 4024938 | Charity Numbers:
England and Wales 1082947, Scotland
SC040094

For more information, please contact:

Katharine Sacks-Jones, Policy Manager,
Crisis

katharine.sacksjones@crisis.org.uk

66 Commercial Street, London E1 6LT

020 7426 5668